On extreme points of the diffusion polytope

M. J. Hay,[1, a)] J. Schiff,[2] and N. J. Fisch[1, 3]
[1)] *Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544*
[2)] *Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, Ramat Gan, 52900 Israel*
[3)] *Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey 08543*

(Dated: 10 January 2017)

We consider a class of diffusion problems defined on simple graphs in which the populations at any two vertices may be averaged if they are connected by an edge. The diffusion polytope is the convex hull of the set of population vectors attainable using finite sequences of these operations. A number of physical problems have linear programming solutions taking the diffusion polytope as the feasible region, e.g. the free energy that can be removed from plasma using waves, so there is a need to describe and enumerate its extreme points. We review known results for the case of the complete graph K_n, and study a variety of problems for the path graph P_n and the cyclic graph C_n. We describe the different kinds of extreme points that arise, and identify the diffusion polytope in a number of simple cases. In the case of increasing initial populations on P_n the diffusion polytope is topologically an n-dimensional hypercube.

arXiv:1604.08573v2 [math-ph] 7 Jan 2017

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider a discrete-time conservative diffusion process on a graph. By this we mean a connected, simple graph G with vertices $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$, a set of initial "populations" $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^n$ at the vertices, and a set of rules that can be applied at each time step, with the understanding that the rules in some sense diffuse, or spread out the populations, while conserving the total $\sum_i \rho_i$. So, for example, in classical diffusion,[1,2] at each time step the populations at all the vertices are updated simultaneously via the rule

$$\rho_i \to \rho_i + h\sum_j(\rho_j - \rho_i) \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n \ ,$$

where h is a positive constant and the index j runs over the neighbors of vertex i.

Chip firing games on graphs are specified in a similar fashion. At each time step the (integer) population at a vertex is reduced by n, the degree of vertex i, and the population at each of i's neighbors is incremented by 1.[3] Sandpile models, in which vertices accumulate population until reaching a threshold and 'toppling,' thereby transferring population to other nodes, follow similar rules.[4,5]

In this paper we will examine a diffusion process in which at each time step, the populations at any two vertices connected by an edge can be averaged. In some generality, for many reasonable sets of rules, there will a bounded set of attainable population vectors in $\mathbf{R}^n$. In various applications we may be interested in extremizing some linear function of the populations $\sum_i w_i\rho_i$, and to do this (using a linear programming approach) we need to identify the closure of the convex hull of the set of attainable population vectors. We call this the *diffusion polytope* of the diffusion process (associated with the graph G, the relevant sent of rules and the initial set of populations). In some sense the diffusion polytope measures the diversity of behavior that can be attained in the diffusion process. (We emphasize that the diffusion processes we consider in this paper are limited to those described, as above, by a set of rules, leading to a finite, or at least bounded, set of accessible states. This does not include typical stochastic diffusion processes, in which extreme states are in principle accessible, albeit with very small probabilities.)

Our motivation comes from plasma physics. There is a class of diffusion problems assoicated with opportunities in extracting energy in plasma with waves. Waves can be injected into a fusion reactor such that high energy alpha particles, the byproducts of the fusion reaction, lose energy to the waves, as those alpha particles are diffused by the waves to lower energy.[6–8] The extra energy in the waves can then be used, for example, to increase the reactivity of the fuel or to drive electric current.[9–11] Choosing the correct sequence of waves to extract as much energy as possible is an optimization problem on a graph of the type described above. Because the wave-particle interaction is diffusive,[12] particles in a given location in the 6D phase space of velocity and position are randomly mixed by the wave with particles in another location in the 6D phase space. A graph encodes the connectedness of the phase space; each node denotes a volume element in phase space, and an edge between two nodes indicates that those two volume elements may be mixed. Wave-induced diffusion follows a path in the 6D phase space corresponding to an edge in the associated graph. If there is a population inversion in energy along the path, then the diffusive process releases energy. If there is only one wave and a specified diffusion path, the amount of extractable energy can be readily calculated. However, more energy can be extracted when several waves are employed.[13,14] When many diffusion paths are possible, it turns out that the order in which these paths are taken affects the energy that can be extracted. We reach the situation described above, of a range of possible population distributions on the nodes. (The number of particles the total population is conserved, energy is extracted by

[a)] hay@princeton.edu



(a) Nonlocal diffusion: K_4



(b) Local diffusion: P_4

FIG. 1. Graph representations diffusion problems two four-level systems, K_4 and P_4. The marking B_{ij} on an edge indicates that the populations of nodes i and j can be equalized.



FIG. 2. Truncated parahelium energy level diagram with all possible electric dipole transitions represented by arrows, $\leftrightarrow$. Energy scale is arbitrary. The s-p splitting is due chiefly to the partially screened Coulomb repulsion of the nucleus (a larger effect in p orbitals vs. s orbitals).[22]

moving particles from nodes of high energy to nodes of low energy.) Determining the maximum amount of extractable energy under the constraint that the particle distribution function evolves only due to diffusion reduces to a linear programming problem on the diffusion polytope, the convex hull of all attainable population vectors, and we are concerned with identifying its extreme points and the edge sequences that give rise to these extreme points.

Arguably the simplest case of this diffusion problem is to allow, at every step, averaging of the populations at any two nodes. This is the full-connectivity, or the *nonlocal diffusion* problem, in which diffusion paths can be constructed between any two phase space locations, and the relevant graph is the complete graph K_n (see Figure 1). In the context of plasma, there are physical reasons why this arrangement is realizable on a macroscopic scale, despite the restriction of diffusion to contiguous regions of phase space on the microscopic scale.[15] In this case the diffusion polytope, and the maximum energy extractable, or what we call the *free energy*, have been described previously.[16] The same optimization problem has been discussed in other fields, in the context of attainable states in chemical reactions and thermal processes[17,18], and in the context of altruism and wealth distribution.[19]

However in all these settings, there are arguments to restrict the connectivity. In the context of plasma, possible reasons for restriction include that waves can only diffuse particles from one phase space position to a contiguous position, or between pairs of states determined by selection rules. In the case of such a *local diffusion* problem, the free energy will be less, because there are fewer ways in which the energy might be released. As the simplest example of such a local diffusion problem we study diffusion when the connectivity is restricted from that of K_n to that of the path graph P_n (see Figure 1). In the context of alruism, the effects of other (retrospective) restrictions on the connectivity have also been studied.[20]

The path graph context P_n arises naturally when the 6D phase space is projected to a 1D energy representation, and only transitions between adjacent energies are allowed. Many physical problems of interest are captured by the model of contiguity based on energy only. Other network problems can be defined which capture the spatial element.[21] A different problem on P_5 arises in the context of maximizing the possible concentration of atoms in a specific state in an ensemble of atoms of helium. Fig. 2 shows a truncated level diagram for parahelium ($S = 0$). Due to level splitting, each energy level is associated with a unique energy. We suppose processes are available which can mix levels joined by a dipole transition (such as spatially incoherent light at the appropriate frequency). For example, it is possible to average the number of atoms in $2s$ and $3p$, but it is not possible to do the same for $2s$ and $3s$. Thus four operators are allowed in this five-level system, $1s \leftrightarrow 2p$, $1s \leftrightarrow 3p$, $2s \leftrightarrow 3p$, $3s \leftrightarrow 2p$. We gain a clearer picture by redrawing the energy level diagram of Fig. 2 with vertices relabelled $1 = 1s, 2 = 2s, 3 = 2p, 4 = 3s, 5 = 3p$ (so the vertex label indicates the energy rank) to obtain Fig. 3. Thus we see this also gives a diffusion problem on P_n; however the allowed transitions are *not* between adjacent energies.

This paper proceeds as follows: In Section II we give the precise statement of the diffusion model we study, the definition of the diffusion polytope, and state some elementary facts. In Section III we review known results for the case of the complete graph K_n,[16–19]. We show that for a general graph, whenever 3 vertices are connected to each other (i.e. form a triangle), extreme points of the diffusion polytope are obtained only by averaging over the pairs with consecutive populations. This generalizes a theorem of Thon and Wallace for case of the complete graph, and is a key result in characterizing the diffusion polytope. In Sec. IV, we study the diffusion polytope for the path graph P_n. There are different cases depending on the ordering of the initial populations. In the case



FIG. 3. Graph representation for the diffusion problem on parahelium, cf. Fig. 2. Vertices are labeled by the rank of the corresponding energy eigenvalue (increasing). The graph is P_5, but the transitions are not between adjacent energy levels.

$n = 3$ we describe the solution in all cases, emphasizing the location of the resulting polytopes inside the K_3 polytope, and the different kind of extreme points that arise. In the case of P_n with ordered initial populations we show the diffusion polytope is topologically an $(n-1)$-dimensional hypercube with 2^{n-1} vertices. Whereas the extreme points of the K_n nonlocal problem can all be constructed by $\binom{n}{2}$ or fewer level mixings, some extreme points in the P_n local problem are only reachable by an infinite sequence of operations. Curiously, the number extreme points in the P_n local problem that are inherited from the nonlocal problem is a Fibonacci number, and the number of operations required to reach them is at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$. Sec. V extends the analysis to diffusion on the cycle graph C_n, again focusing on the new types of extreme points that become available. We summarize in Sec. VI, and present open questions concerning more physically relevant graphs, and connections between ideas presented in this paper and other notions in modern network theory.

II. THE DIFFUSION MODEL

The diffusion model studied in this paper is as follows: We are given a connected, simple graph G with vertices $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$, and a set of initial populations $\{\rho_i\}_{i=1}^n$ at the vertices. We assume without loss of generality that the population vector $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \ldots, \rho_n)$ is normalized: $\sum_i \rho_i = 1$.

To any edge in G we associate an operator. If the edge connects vertices V_i and V_j we indicate this operator B_{ij}, and this acts on the populations at the vertices i and j via

$$B_{ij} : (\rho_i, \rho_j) \to \left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho_i + \rho_j), \frac{1}{2}(\rho_i + \rho_j) \right)$$

while leaving the populations at all the other vertices unchanged.

We can write $B_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(I + Q_{ij})$ where Q_{ij} is the operator that permutes the populations at the i'th and j'the vertices. In greater generality we could consider the action of operators $B_{ij;\alpha} = (1-\alpha)I + \alpha Q_{ij}$ for all $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. This is the case in which "partial relaxation" is allowed as well as full relaxation. However, since we will only consider the convex hull of the population vectors generated by the B_{ij}, it is clear that this does not make any difference. However, it is important to distinguish between the cases of $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$ and $1/2 < \alpha \leq 1$; the latter case corresponds to inversion of populations, and are not allowed.

We assume we are given an objective function $f = \sum_i w_i \rho_i$ which is to be extremized over the set $A(\rho_0)$ of attainable states, i.e. populations generated by finite sequences of the operators B_{ij} from the initial population vector ρ_0. The weights w_i are taken to be all positive and distinct. Without loss of generality we can assume either $w_1 < w_2 < \cdots < w_n$ or that the components of ρ_0 satisfy $\rho_1 \leq \rho_2 \leq \cdots \leq \rho_n$. Due to the linearity of f, this problem has a linear programming solution on $DP = \overline{ch}\,(A(\rho_0))$, the closure of the complex hull of $K(\rho_0)$. We call this the *diffusion polytope* of the problem; it is determined by the graph G and the initial population vector ρ_0.

Since we have assumed G is connected, the uniform population $\rho = \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \ldots, \frac{1}{n}\right)$ is in DP. (To prove this, observe that the quantity $\max_{i,j}(\rho_i - \rho_j)$ is a strictly decreasing function under the application of the averaging operations, and it cannot have a non-zero minimum.)

Another immediate property of DP is that if the graph G' can be obtained from G by deletion of one or more edges (while still staying connected) then $DP(G') \subseteq DP(G)$. Here the assumption is that we start with the same population vector on both G and G'. However, since G' has less edges, the set of attainable states is smaller compared to that for G (and in the plasma setting the free energy is reduced). Thus the diffusion polytope of *every* graph with n vertices is a subset of the diffusion polytope for K_n. Reducing the connectivity will restrict the diffusion polytope. This gives, for example, a way to identify "important" edges in a graph, as edges whose elimination causes a significant restriction diffusion polytope, or to define robustness of a network in terms of how the diffusion polytope responds to removal of edged, c.f. Refs. 23 and 24.

III. DIFFUSION ON K_n: NONLOCAL DIFFUSION

For the case of K_n, the case of nonlocal diffusion, Ref. 19 presented a recursive algorithm to identify the extreme points of the diffusion polytope by applying different sequences of the B_{ij}. It was noted in Ref. 16 that this algorithm generates reduced (minimal length) decompositions of the elements in the symmetric group S_n.[25] That is, the algorithm identifies every possible minimum-length way to generate each of the $n!$ permu-

tations of a length-n word using only adjacent transpositions $\sigma_i = (i\ i{+}1)$. It turns out that the nonlocal extreme points are in bijection with equivalence classes of reduced decomposition, the equivalence classes being the sets of reduced decompositions obtainable from each other by the applying the commutation relation $\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i$, $|i-j|>1$.[26]

It was noted that 'dead ends' exist among the possible sequences of diffusion operations, where a state is reached with level densities decreasing with level energy, such that no more energy can be extracted. Any such *stopping* state has the level population permutation which is the reverse of the energy level permutation. For example, given $w=(w_1,w_2,w_3)$ with $w_2<w_1<w_3\sim\{2,1,3\}$, the *stopping permutation* is $\{3,1,2\}$, such that $\rho_3\le\rho_1\le\rho_2$.

In order to identify the extremal sequence of diffusion operations resulting in a minimum-energy state, it is generally necessary to evaluate the objective function for each inequivalent reduced decomposition of the stopping permutation. For the worst-case reverse permutation, there are a large number of states to check.[27] We emphasize that each extreme point in the nonlocal problem is associated with a finite sequence of diffusion operations, with the limiting words being the identity (length 0) and the reverse permutation (length $\binom{n}{2}$).

Finally, all extremal sequences result in a monotone trend in the objective function: in our plasma example, we can exclude from consideration any operations which absorb energy from the injected waves.

A signficant tool for these results was Prop.2 in Ref. 19 and this has an extension to the diffusion problem on an arbitrary graph. Suppose the 3 vertices V_i, V_j, V_k form a triangle, i.e. that there are edges between the 3 possible pairs of these three vertices. Assume without loss of generality that $\rho_i<\rho_j<\rho_k$. Then *no extreme point can be obtained by immediate application of* B_{ik}. In other words, in any triangle, extreme points can only generated by averaging pairs with adjacent populations. This fact folows from two following simple identities:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a\\ b\\ c\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\lambda_1\begin{pmatrix} \frac13\\ \frac13\\ \frac13\end{pmatrix}+(1-\lambda_1)\begin{pmatrix} \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac12 & \frac12 \\ 0 & \frac12 & \frac12 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a\\ b\\ c\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\lambda_2\begin{pmatrix} \frac13\\ \frac13\\ \frac13\end{pmatrix}+(1-\lambda_2)\begin{pmatrix} \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \frac12 & 0 & \frac12 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \frac12 & \frac12 & 0 \\ \frac12 & \frac12 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a\\ b\\ c\end{pmatrix}$$

Here it is assumed that $a<b<c$ and $a+b+c=1$, and

$$\lambda_1=\frac{3(c-b)}{b+c-2a}\quad\text{and}\quad\lambda_2=\frac{3(b-a)}{2c-a-b}\ ,$$

so

$$1-\lambda_1=\frac{2(2b-a-c)}{b+c-2a}\quad\text{and}\quad 1-\lambda_2=\frac{2(a+c-2b)}{2c-b-a}\ .$$

If $a+c\le 2b$ then $0\le\lambda_1\le 1$, and the first identity says that the population obtained by application of B_{ik} is a convex combination of the population obtained by averaging all three populations at V_i, V_j, V_k, with the population obtained by application of first B_{jk} and then B_{ik}. The latter two populations may or may not be extreme points of DP; but they are both in DP, and thus the population obtained by immediate application of B_{ik} is certainly *not* an extreme point. If $a+c>2b$ then $0\le\lambda_2\le 1$ and the second identity is relevant, and the population obtaiined by immediate application of B_{ik} is a convex combination of the population obtained by full averaging, with that obtained by first applying B_{ij} and then B_{ik}.

In contrast, the other crucial result for understanding the case of K_n, viz. Prop.3 in Ref. 19, seems to be specific to K_n.

IV. DIFFUSION ON P_n: LOCAL DIFFUSION

Throughout our discussion of the P_n case we assume without loss of generality that $\rho_1\le\rho_2\le\cdots\le\rho_n$.

For $n=3$ there are three distinct cases to consider, when the allowed operators are (a) B_{12}, B_{23}, (b) B_{12}, B_{13}, (c) B_{13}, B_{23}. Figure 4 compares the diffusion polytopes in the three different cases with that of K_3, in the case $\rho_0=(0,2/7,5/7)$.

The extreme points in the case K_3 are ρ_0, ρ_0B_{12}, ρ_0B_{23}, $\rho_0B_{12}B_{13}$, $\rho_0B_{23}B_{13}$, $\rho_0B_{12}B_{13}B_{23}$, $\rho_0B_{23}B_{13}B_{12}$. Writing $\bar\rho=\left(\frac13,\frac13,\frac13\right)$, the extreme points in the three P_3 cases are

- $\rho_0, \rho_0B_{12}, \rho_0B_{23}, \bar\rho$.
- $\rho_0, \rho_0B_{12}, \rho_0B_{12}B_{13}, \bar\rho$.
- $\rho_0, \rho_0B_{13}, \rho_0B_{23}, \rho_0B_{13}B_{23}, \rho_0B_{23}B_{13}$.

Note first that any K_3 extreme point that can be attained in any of the P_3 cases is an extreme point in that case. We call such points "nonlocal extreme points" (of the local problem), as they are inherited form the nonlocal problem. However, there are also new extreme points. In the first two cases the point $\bar\rho$ is added. This is a limit point of the attainable populations — it cannot be attained by application of a finite sequence of the B_{ij} operators; we call such points "asymptotic extreme points". In the third case there are new extreme points that *can* be attained by application of a finite sequence of the B_{ij}, however these are not extreme points for the nonlocal K_3 case. In more general local diffusion problems all three kinds of extreme points coexist — nonlocal extreme points inherited from K_n, asymptotic extreme points (which do not appear in the case K_n) and other extreme points generated by finite sequences of operations that are *not* inherited from K_n.

In the case P_n in which the allowed operators are $B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1,n}$ the diffusion polytope can be identified explicitly. In the appendix, we prove that there



(a) B_{12}, B_{23} allowed (P_3)



(b) B_{12}, B_{13} allowed



(c) B_{13}, B_{23} allowed

FIG. 4. Comparison of polytopes for K_3, P_3, and two other restricted graphs for initial data $\rho_0 = (0, 2/7, 5/7)$, with permitted operators (a) B_{12}, B_{23}, (b) B_{12}, B_{13}, (c) B_{13}, B_{23}. All are superimposed on the polytope for K_3. (Due to normalization, the third coordinate is ignorable.)

are 2^{n-1} extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. It follows that the diffusion polytope is topologically an $(n-1)$-dimensional hypercube.[28] Any extreme point corresponding to a subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ is connected (by edges, forming the 1-skeleton of the hypercube) to $n-1$ other extreme points corresponding to the $n-1$ subsets that differ from A in just one element. Fig. 5 illustrate the 3-cube hull for the four-level problem.

We ask the question how many nonlocal extreme points are there in this case? (i.e. how many points are inherited from the case of the complete graph K_n.) In the case $n = 3$ there are 3: ρ_0, $\rho_0 B_{12}$, and $\rho_0 B_{23}$ are all extreme points. In the case $n = 4$ there are 5: ρ_0, $\rho_0 B_{12}$, $\rho_0 B_{23}$, $\rho_0 B_{34}$ and $\rho_0 B_{12} B_{34}$. In general, the question is how many subsets of *commuting* operators are there in $\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1,n}\}$? For $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ only commuting 2-tuples are possible. For $n = 6$, a commuting 3-tuple appears: (B_{12}, B_{34}, B_{56}). In general, the n-level system contains only k-tuples satisfying $k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$.



FIG. 5. Convex hull of a four-level local diffusion problem represented in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Extreme points are denoted with red circles.

Clearly, as n grows, the number of commuting k-tuples with $k \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ becomes large and direct counting becomes tedious, if not difficult. Fortunately, a general formula is available. Appropriating the notation of Ref. 29, denote the number of commuting k-tuples as $A_k(n)$. Recalling that there are $n-1$ operators $B_{i,i+1}$ in the n-level problem, the number of extreme points for $n > 2$ levels is

$$1 + (n-1) + A_2(n) + A_3(n) + \cdots + A_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(n), \qquad (1)$$

where the leading 1 corresponds to the initial distribution ρ_0.

We can now attack the $A_k(n)$ in turn. Mapping each $B_{i,i+1}$ to the symbol i, $A_2(n)$ is the number of two-element subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ which do not contain consecutive numbers. The total number of two-element subsets is $\binom{n-1}{2}$ and the number of subsets containing consecutive numbers is $n-2$. Therefore

$$A_2(n) = \binom{n-1}{2} - (n-2) = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2) = T_{n-3} \qquad (2)$$

where T_n is the n^{th} triangular number (recall that the expression is restricted to $n > 2$). Proceeding analogously, $A_3(n)$ is seen to correspond to the tetrahedral numbers. In general, $A_k(n)$ can be identified with the set of regular k-polytopic numbers.[30] With the proper offsets, the formula for the number of extreme points for $n > 2$ is

$$n + \binom{n-2}{2} + \binom{n-3}{3} + \cdots = F_{n+1}, \qquad (3)$$

where F_n is the n^{th} term of the Fibonacci sequence: 0, 1, 1, 2, 3 ... with $F_0 = 0$. The identity is the statement that shallow diagonals of Pascal's triangle sum to Fibonacci numbers:[31]

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n-k}{k} = F_{n+1}. \tag{4}$$

(Note that $\binom{n}{0} + \binom{n-1}{1} = n$.)

This result might have been anticipated because there are F_{n+2} unique subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ which do not contain consecutive numbers.[32] For example, there are three ($= F_4$) such subsets of {1, 2}: {∅, {1}, {2}}. The problem of enumerating the extreme points is analogous: the n-level system contains $n-1$ operators, leading to F_{n+1} Fibonacci subsets.

The Fibonacci numbers are the solution to a similar problem in graph theory: F_{n+1} is the number of matchings in a path graph with n vertices.[33]

Thus the number of nonlocal extreme points in the case of P_n with operators $\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1,n}\}$ is F_{n+1}. Note these involve at most $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ operators (as opposed to up to $\binom{n}{2}$ in the case of K_n). All the other extreme points are asymptotic extreme points, involving averagings over 3 or more states.

V. DIFFUSION ON THE CYCLE GRAPH C_n: NONLOCAL DIFFUSION

Another possible restriction on the phase space connectivity results in a diffusion problem on the cycle graph C_n, Fig. 6 with allowed operators $\{B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1,n}\}$ as in the case of P_n studied before, and the single extra operator $B_{1,n}$ C_3 is isomorphic to K_3 and accordingly has the same diffusion polytopes; C_4 is the smallest case with a unique diffusion polytope.

Introducing the notation B_{ijk} for the operation of averaging over populations on the three vertices i, j, k (involving an infinite sequence of operations), Table I lists the 18 extreme points we have found for the C_4 diffusion polytope by brute force computation. We divide the points into 3 categories: those inherited from K_n, those shared with P_4 (by this we mean that these are extreme points for P_4 inherited from the C_4 case), and all others. In the case of C_4, as in the case of P_n that we solved explicitly, there are only nonlocal extreme points and asymptotic extreme points. We emphasize that in general there are also points involving a finite sequence of B_{ij} that are *not* inherited from K_n.

VI. DISCUSSION

In this work we have developed a non-standard diffusion model on a graph, explained the reason for looking at the associated diffusion polytope, and studied this in the cases where the graph is K_n, P_n and C_n. The case of P_n for which we have given a complete solution and the case of K_n should be regarded as extreme cases. Assuming increasing energy levels and initial densities, the nonlocal problem requires evaluation of the objective functional at a super-exponential number of points in the number of levels n, while for the local problem the solution is always the uniform distribution. We show in Fig. 7 the optimal population distribution with $w = (1, 2, 3, 4)$, $\rho_0 \propto (e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4)$ in the cases of K_4, P_4 (with operators B_{12}, B_{23}, B_{34}) and C_4 (with added operator B_{14}).

Although the diffusion problem on the complete graph is well-characterized, it is difficult to solve. The P_n model is an oversimplification, but it is possible that not much more complicated models may be useful. Some insight may be gained into the 'full' alpha particle diffusion problem by considering proximity in both position and energy space. As before, edges correspond to diffusion paths and vertices reference bins associated with the discretization of configuration and energy space. A particularly simple 2-D diffusion problem in x and ϵ can be visualized as in Fig. 8, on the composition of path graphs $P_m[P_n]$, $m \geq n$, essentially a grid graph with diagonal edges.[34] By judicious choice of the wave phase velocities, diffusion paths can be established between different parts of the phase space. Moving a particle in energy at constant location could be accomplished with a wave $k \to 0$, and vice versa, moving a particle without changing its energy with a wave $\omega \to 0$. The slopes of any diagonal diffusion paths are determined by intermediate ω/k.[13,14]



(a) C_4

FIG. 6. The cycle graph C_4

from K_4	shared P_4	C_4 only
ρ_0	$\rho_0 B_{123}$	$\rho_0 B_{123} B_{14}$
$\rho_0 B_{12}$	$\rho_0 B_{234}$	$\rho_0 B_{234} B_{14}$
$\rho_0 B_{23}$		$\rho_0 B_{123} B_{124}$
$\rho_0 B_{34}$		$\rho_0 B_{234} B_{134}$
$\rho_0 B_{12} B_{34}$		$\rho_0 B_{12} B_{34} B_{134}$
$\rho_0 B_{12} B_{34} B_{14}$		$\rho_0 B_{12} B_{34} B_{124}$
		$\rho_0 B_{234} B_{14} B_{12}$
		$\rho_0 B_{123} B_{14} B_{234}$
		$\rho_0 B_{234} B_{14} B_{123}$
		$\rho_0 B_{123} B_{23} B_{14} B_{34}$

TABLE I. Extreme points for the diffusion problem on C_4.



FIG. 7. Comparison of minimal energy states for the diffusion problem with initial data $\rho_0 \propto (e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4)$ and $w = (1, 2, 3, 4)$. The blue circles label the level densities for the nonlocal problem (K_4), the green diamonds for the case C_4 and the yellow squares for the case P_4. Whereas in the nonlocal case the operations recovered 68% of the Gardner limit,[16] the C_4 case recovered 63%, and in the P_4 case only 50% was recovered.



FIG. 8. Diffusion problem on $G = P_3[P_2]$.

Such an arrangement is characterized by degeneracy in both position and energy bins. A practical alpha channeling scheme would seek to maximize the density at a particular low-energy, large-radius sink node.

In general, one might consider diffusion problems on arbitrary subgraphs of the complete graph for $V = \{w\}$, of which the local problem is one solvable case (the path graph with $V = \{w\}$). These other problems will inherit some extreme points from the complete graph on the same number of vertices and should also have some unique ones depending on the particular graph structure. There may be rich physical significance for diffusion problems on more or less 'bottlenecked' graphs generally (in the sense of Cheeger), or e.g. the wheel graphs, k-regular trees, and complete bipartite graphs.

Our problem may be contrasted with other notions of (deterministic or stochastic) diffusion or spreading on graphs, for example in the context of spreading of epidemics,[35–37] or behavior.[38] In all such settings, the resulting behavior depends intimately on the character of the underlying graph. For example, disease transmission proceeds more slowly on a lattice than on small-world[39,40] or scale-free[36,41] networks. Qualitative differences can also be observed in the context of classical graph diffusion.[42] We expect to see similar differences in the context of our problem, though the computational task of verifying this is formidable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with Mariana Campos Horta. Particular thanks are due to Professor D. Tannor for critical discussions at an early stage of this work. Work supported by DOE Contract No. DE-AC02-09CH11466 and DOE NNSA SSAA Grant No. DE274-FG52-08NA28553. One of us (NJF) acknowledges the hospitality of the Weizmann Institute of Science, where he held a Weston Visiting Professorship during the time over which this work was initiated.

[1] F. R. K. Chung, *Spectral graph theory*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences: Regional Conference Series No. 92 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2009).
[2] R. I. Kondor and J. Lafferty, "Diffusion kernels on graphs and other discrete input spaces," in *Proc. Int'l Conf. on Machine Learning* (2002).
[3] A. Björner, L. Lovász, and P. W. Shor, "Chip-firing games on graphs," Europ. J. Combinatorics **12**, 283–291 (1991).
[4] D. Dhar, "Self-organized critical state of sandpile automaton models," Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1613 (1990).
[5] D. Dhar, "The abelian sandpile and related models," Physica A **263**, 4–25 (1999).
[6] N. J. Fisch and J.-M. Rax, "Interaction of energetic alpha particles with intense lower hybrid waves," Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 612–615 (1992).
[7] N. J. Fisch and J.-M. Rax, "Current drive by lower hybrid waves in the presence of energetic alpha-particles," Nucl. Fusion **13**, 549 (1992).
[8] N. J. Fisch and M. C. Herrmann, "A tutorial on alpha-channeling," Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **41**, A221 (1999).
[9] N. J. Fisch and M. C. Herrmann, "Utility of extracting alpha-particle energy by waves," Nucl. Fusion **34**, 1541 (1994).
[10] M. J. Hay and N. J. Fisch, "Ignition threshold for non-maxwellian plasmas," Phys. Plasmas **22**, 112116 (2015).
[11] N. J. Fisch, "Theory of current drive in plasmas," Rev. Mod. Phys **59**, 175 (1987).
[12] A. A. Vedenov, E. P. Velikhov, and R. Z. Sagdeev, "The quasi-linear theory of plasma oscillationss," Nucl. Fusion Suppl. **2**, 465 (1962).
[13] N. J. Fisch and M. C. Herrmann, "Alpha power channeling with two waves," Nucl. Fusion **35**, 1753 (1995).
[14] M. C. Herrmann and N. J. Fisch, "Cooling energetic alpha particles in a tokamak with waves," Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1495 (1997).
[15] N. J. Fisch and J.-M. Rax, "Free energy in plasmas under wave-induced diffusion," Phys. Fluids B **5**, 1754 (1993).
[16] M. J. Hay, J. Schiff, and N. J. Fisch, "Maximal energy extraction under discrete diffusive exchange," Phys. Plasmas **22**, 102108 (2015).
[17] F. Horn, "Attainable and non-attainable regions in chemical reaction techniques," in *Proceedings of the 3rd European Symposium on Chemical Reaction Engineering* (Pergamon, 1964) pp. 1–10.
[18] C. Zylka, "A note on the attainability of states by equalizing processes," Theor. Chim. Acta **68**, 363 (1985).
[19] D. Thon and S. W. Wallace, "Dalton transfers, inequality and altruism," Soc. Choice Welfare **22**, 447 (2004).
[20] R. Aboudi and D. Thon, "Second degree Pareto dominance," Soc. Choice Welfare **30**, 475–493 (2008).

[21] A. I. Zhmoginov and N. J. Fisch, "Flux control in networks of diffusion paths," Phys. Lett. A **372**, 5534–5541 (2008).
[22] F. Schwabl, *Quantum Mechanics*, 4th ed. (Springer, Berlin, 2007).
[23] R. Cohen, K. Erez, D. ben-Avraham, and S. Havlin, "Resilience of the Internet to random breakdowns," Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 4626 (2000).
[24] T. Tanizawa, G. Paul, R. Cohen, S. Havlin, and S. E. Stanley, "Optimization of network robustness to waves of targeted and random attacks optimization of network robustness to waves of targeted and random attacks," Phys. Rev. E **71**, 047101 (2005).
[25] OEIS Foundation Inc., "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences," `http://oeis.org/A246865` (2014), [Online; accessed 13-Aug-2015].
[26] G. Berkolaiko and J. Irving, "Inequivalent factorizations of permutations," J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **140**, 1–37 (2016).
[27] OEIS Foundation Inc., "The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences," `http://oeis.org/A006245` (2014), [Online; accessed 11-Jan-2016].
[28] E. W. Weisstein, "Hypercube. From *MathWorld*—A Wolfram Web Resource," (n.d.), last visited on 4/24/2016.
[29] P. Erdös and E. Straus, "How abelian is a finite group?" Linear and Multilinear Algebra **3**, 307–312 (1976).
[30] E. Deza and M. M. Deza, *Figurate Numbers* (World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2012).
[31] C. Stover and E. W. Weisstein, "Pascal's Triangle. From *MathWorld*—A Wolfram Web Resource," (n.d.), last visited on 1/27/2016.
[32] L. Comtet, *Advanced Combinatorics* (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1974).
[33] H. Prodinger and R. Tichy, "Fibonacci numbers of graphs," Fibonacci Q. **20**, 16–21 (1982).
[34] E. W. Weisstein, "Graph Composition. From *MathWorld*—A Wolfram Web Resource," (n.d.), last visited on 4/28/2016.
[35] M. J. Keeling and K. T. D. Eames, "Networks and epidemic models," J. R. Soc. Interface **2**, 295–307 (2005).
[36] R. Pastor-Satorras and A. Vespignani, "Epidemic spreading in scale-free networks," Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3200–3203 (2001).
[37] A. L. Lloyd and R. M. May, "How viruses spread among computers and people," Science **292**, 1316–1317 (2001).
[38] N. A. Christakis and J. H. Fowler, "Social contagion theory: examining dynamic social networks and human behavior," Stat. Med. **32**, 10.1002/sim.5408 (2013).
[39] D. J. Watts and S. H. Strogatz, "Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks," Nature **393**, 440–442 (1998).
[40] D. J. Watts, "Networks, dynamics and the small-world phenomenon," Am. J. Sociol. **105**, 493–527 (1999).
[41] A.-L. Barabási and R. Albert, "Emergence of scaling in random networks," Science **286**, 509–512 (1999).
[42] R. Monasson, "Diffusion, localization and dispersion relations on "small-world" lattices," Eur. Phys. J. B **12**, 555–567 (1999).

Appendix: The diffusion polytope in the "ordered" case P_n

In this appendix we prove the claim from Sec. IV concerning the diffusion polytope in the case P_n, with permitted operators $B_{12}, B_{23}, \ldots, B_{n-1,n}$. The local state space is the set of states accessible from the initial state ρ_0, after an arbitrary finite sequence of transformations $B_{i,i+1}$ has been applied. We claim that the closure of the convex hull of this space has 2^{n-1} extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. Denote these points S_A, where the index A runs over all subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$.

The bijection has a simple description. The empty set $\varnothing$ corresponds to the initial state ρ_0. The point S_A corresponding to the set A has the property that for each $i \in A$ the i'th and $(i+1)$'th components of S_A are equal, and its components are obtained by averaging over subsets of components of ρ_0. So, for example, in a seven-level system, $S_{\{1,2,3,6\}}$ is the point (x, x, x, x, y, z, z) where x is the average of the first four components of ρ_0, y is the fifth component, and z is the average of the sixth and seventh components. In greater generality, whenever A contains a sequence of k consecutive integers $i, i+1, \ldots, i+k-1$ then the $k+1$ components of S_A from i to $i+k$ are equal to the average of the corresponding components of ρ_0.

Lemma 1. *The S_A are contained in the closure of the local state space.*

Proof. Fix a subset $A \subseteq \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ corresponding to a particular S_A. Suppose $i, i+1, \ldots, i+k-1$ is a maximal sequence of k consecutive integers in A, i.e. all these are in A, but $i-1$ and $i+k$ are not, and consider the quantity $\rho_{i+k} - \rho_i$. This is non-negative, and, if it is non-zero, strictly decreases when the operator $B_{i+k-1,i+k} \ldots B_{i+1,i+2} B_{i,i+1}$ is applied to the state ρ. Furthermore it is unchanged when the corresponding operator for a different maximal sequence of consecutive integers is applied to ρ. It follows that by repeated application of such operators the initial state ρ_0 can be brought arbitrarily close to the extreme point S_A. Thus the points S_A are in the closure of the local state space. □

Lemma 2. *No point S_A can be written as a nontrivial convex combination of the others.*

Proof. Consider a convex combination $\sum a_A S_A$, with $a_A \geq 0$ and $\sum a_A = 1$. Let $\rho_{A,i}$ denote the component densities of the point S_A. Suppose $\sum a_A S_A = S$, with densities ρ_i. Because an arbitrary sequence of local operators $B_{i,i+1}$ cannot achieve a population inversion, we have $\rho_{A,i} \leq \rho_{A,i+1}$ for all A and i. Therefore $\rho_i = \rho_{i+1}$ if and only if $\rho_{A,i} = \rho_{A,i+1}$ for all A with $a_A > 0$. Thus any convex combination yielding the point S has only one summand, S itself. □

Lemma 3. *Any point in the local state space can be written as a convex combination of the S_A.*

Proof. We wish to show that every accessible state ρ can be written as a convex combination of the extreme points, i.e. that we can write

$$\rho = \sum_A \lambda_A S_A \ , \qquad \text{where} \ \ \lambda_A \geq 0 \ , \sum_A \lambda_A = 1.$$

Every accessible state can be obtained by applying an arbitrary finite sequence of $B_{i,i+1}$ to the initial state $\rho_0 = S_\varnothing$. We proceed by induction on the number of $B_{i,i+1}$ operators to be applied. To prove the inductive step it is necessary to check that for every A and for every i, $S_A B_{i,i+1}$ can be written as a convex combination of extreme points. If $i \in A$, then $S_A B_{i,i+1} = S_A$ is an extreme point. If $i \notin A$ then the i'th and $(i+1)$'th

components of S_A may be different. S_A then takes the form

$$(\dots, x, \dots, x, x, y, y, \dots y, \dots) \ .$$

where there is a string of $k > 0$ x's ending in the i'th position and a string of $l > 0$ y's starting in the $(i+1)$'th position. The entries on the left of the string of x's and on the right of the string of y's remain fixed and identical for all the points that appear in the forthcoming calculation, and thus do not play any role. It is assumed that the entry immediately on the left of the x's (if there is such) is strictly less than x, and the entry immediately on the right of the y's (if it exists) is strictly greater than y.

Applying $B_{i,i+1}$ we have

$$S_A B_{i,i+1} = (\dots, x, \dots, x, \frac{x+y}{2}, \frac{x+y}{2}, y, \dots, y, \dots)$$

where now the strings of x's and y's are of length $k-1$ and $l-1$ respectively. It should be emphasized that except in the case $k = l = 1$ *this is not an extreme point*, as although the values in the i'th and $(i+1)$'th positions are equal, they have been determined by components of the initial density ρ_0 from outside these positions, and full averaging over the relevant subset has not been achieved. However we will now show it is a convex combination of four extreme points of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_1 &= (\dots, X, \dots X, X, X, X, \dots X, \dots), \\
&\quad \text{with } k+l \text{ } X\text{'s}, \\
S_2 &= (\dots, X_1, \dots, X_1, X_1, X_1, Y_1, \dots, Y_1, \dots), \\
&\quad \text{with } k+1 \text{ } X_1\text{'s and } l-1 \text{ } Y_1\text{'s}, \\
S_3 &= (\dots, X_2, \dots, X_2, Y_2, Y_2, Y_2, \dots, Y_2, \dots), \\
&\quad \text{with } k-1 \text{ } X_2\text{'s and } l+1 \text{ } Y_2\text{'s, and} \\
S_4 &= (\dots, X_2, \dots, X_2, Z, Z, Y_1, \dots, Y_1, \dots), \\
&\quad \text{with } k-1 \text{ } X_2\text{'s and } l-1 \text{ } Y_1\text{'s}.
\end{aligned}$$

(These four points are only distinct on the assumption $k, l > 1$. The cases $k = l = 1$, $k = 1, l > 1$, and $k > 1, l = 1$ should be considered separately but are simpler — in particular in the case $k = l = 1$ all four points coincide and the resulting point is extreme. We omit the details of the cases $k = 1, l > 1$ and $k > 1, l = 1$.) The quantities $x, y, X, X_1, Y_1, X_2, Y_2, Z$ appearing here are not independent, as they are obtained from averaging over certain entries of ρ_0. Denote the average value of entries $i-(k-1), \dots, i-1$ of ρ_0 as R_1, the value of entry i as R_2, the value of entry $i+1$ as R_3 and the average of entries $i+2, \dots, i+l$ as R_4. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{(k-1)R_1 + R_2}{k} \\
y &= \frac{R_3 + (l-1)R_4}{l} \\
X &= \frac{(k-1)R_1 + R_2 + R_3 + (l-1)R_4}{k+l} \\
X_1 &= \frac{(k-1)R_1 + R_2 + R_3}{k+1} \\
Y_1 &= R_4 \\
X_2 &= R_1 \\
Y_2 &= \frac{R_2 + R_3 + (l-1)R_4}{l+1} \\
Z &= \frac{R_2 + R_3}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to find $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4 \geq 0$ such that

$$S_A B_{i,i+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i S_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i = 1$$

Solving these linear equations gives three constraints between the λ_i, which can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= C_1 \lambda_4 + D_1 \\
\lambda_2 &= C_2 \lambda_4 + D_2 \\
\lambda_3 &= C_3 \lambda_4 + D_3
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1, C_2, C_3, D_1, D_2, D_3$ are complicated expressions involving k, l and R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4. Explicitly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= \frac{(p_2 + 2\,p_3)\,(p_2 + 2\,p_1)\,(k+l)}{2\,((k-1)p_1 + kp_2 + (k+1)p_3)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(l+1)p_1 + lp_2 + (l-1)p_3} \\
C_2 &= -\frac{(p_2 + 2\,p_3)\,(k+1)}{2\,((k-1)p_1 + kp_2 + (k+1)p_3)} \\
C_3 &= -\frac{(p_2 + 2\,p_1)\,(l+1)}{2\,((l+1)p_1 + lp_2 + (l-1)p_3)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p_1 &= R_2 - R_1 \\
p_2 &= R_3 - R_2 \\
p_3 &= R_4 - R_3
\end{aligned}$$

Since $R_1 \leq R_2 \leq R_3 \leq R_4$ we have $p_i \geq 0$ and thus $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2, C_3 < 0$. Thus for nonnegativity of the λ_i we need

$$\max\left(0, -\frac{D_1}{C_1}\right) \leq \lambda_4 \leq \min\left(-\frac{D_2}{C_2}, -\frac{D_3}{C_3}\right) \qquad \text{(A.1)}$$

The explicit expressions for $-\frac{D_1}{C_1}, -\frac{D_2}{C_2}, -\frac{D_3}{C_3}$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{D_1}{C_1} &= \big((k-1)lp_1p_2 + klp_2^2 \\
&\quad + k(l-1)p_2p_3 - 2(l+k)p_1p_3\big) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(p_2+2\,p_3)\,(p_2+2\,p_1)\,kl} \\
-\frac{D_2}{C_2} &= \frac{(k-1)lp_1 + klp_2 + k(l-1)p_3}{(p_2+2p_3)\,kl} \\
-\frac{D_3}{C_3} &= \frac{(k-1)lp_1 + klp_2 + k(l-1)p_3}{(p_2+2p_1)\,kl}
\end{aligned}$$

Evidently $-\frac{D_2}{C_2}, -\frac{D_3}{C_3} > 0$. To see the inequalities (A.1) can be satisfied we simply observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{D_2}{C_2} - \left(-\frac{D_1}{C_1}\right) &= \\
&\frac{2p_1\,\left((k-1)p_1 + kp_2 + (k+1)p_3\right)}{k\,(p_2+2p_3)\,(p_2+2p_1)} > 0 \\
-\frac{D_3}{C_3} - \left(-\frac{D_1}{C_1}\right) &= \\
&\frac{2p_3\,\left((l+1)p_2 + lp_2 + (l-1)p_3\right)}{l\,(p_2+2p_3)\,(p_2+2p_1)} > 0
\end{aligned}$$

Thus both quantities on the rightmost side of (A.1) are greater than both quantities on the leftmost side and thus the inequalities (A.1) can always be satisfied. Note that the quantity $-\frac{D_1}{C_1}$ is of indeterminate sign. When it is negative it is possible to take $\lambda_4 = 0$ and thus write $S_A B_{i,i+1}$ as a convex combination of just three extreme points. However, in general, four are needed. □

Theorem 1. *The closure of the convex hull of the local state space has 2^{n-1} extreme points in bijection with the power set of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$.*

Proof. By **Lemma 1**, the S_A are all contained in the closure of the local state space and thus in the closure of its convex hull. Thus the convex hull of the points S_A is contained in the closure of the convex hull of the local state space. By **Lemma 2**, no point S_A can be expressed as a nontrivial convex combination of the others. Thus the convex hull of the points S_A has all the 2^{n-1} points S_A as extreme points. By **Lemma 3**, any point in the local state space can be expressed as a convex combination of the S_A. Thus the local state space is contained in the convex hull of the points S_A, which is a closed convex set, so must therefore also contain the closure of the convex hull of the local state space. Combining these results we conclude that the closure of the convex hull of the local state space can be identified with the convex hull of the points S_A and these are a complete set of extreme points. □